REMARKS

In this Amendment, Applicants have cancelled claims 1-31 without prejudice or disclaimer and added new claims 32-48, commensurate with the support of the specification. No new matter has been introduced by way of the amendment.

Claims 32-35 are new claims. Claims 36-38 have been amended based on claims 3, 5, and 6 as originally filed, respectively.

Claim 39 is also based on the originally filed claim 6.

Claims 41-44 are system claims, and generally parallel claims 32-35.

Claims 45-48 are storage medium claims, and generally parallel claims 32-35.

Exemplary support for claim 32 may be found, at least, at page 2, lines 27-28; page 6, lines 5-6 and 10-11; page 8, lines 26-28; page 13, lines 19-20; in Figure 2; and in claim 3 as originally filed.

Exemplary support for claim 33 may be found, at least, at page 8, line 27.

Exemplary support for claim 34 may be found, at least, at page 8, line 29 to page 9, line 1, and page 9, lines 7-9.

Exemplary support for claim 35 may be found, at least, at page 8, line 25.

Exemplary support for claim 37 may be found, at least, at page 12, lines 24-25.

Exemplary support for claim 38 may be found, at least, at page 4, line 29 to page 5, line 5; page 12, lines 26-28.

Exemplary support for claim 39 may be found, at least, in claim 6 as originally filed, Figure 7, and at page 14, line 23.

Exemplary support for claim 40 may be found, at least, in claims 6 and 7 as originally filed, Figure 7, and at page 14, line 30.

Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131

The Examiner stated that the declaration filed on 3/1/2007 under 37 CFR 1.131 is ineffective to overcome US Publication Number 2004/0034615 to Thomson et al. (hereinafter referred to as "Thomson").

Applicants respectfully disagree, but the point is moot in view of the claims now presented, which clearly define over Thomson.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) and 103(a)

Claims 1-17, 19-20, and 24-31 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thomson. Claims 18 and 21-23 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomson in view of US Patent No. 5,682,526 to Smokoff (herein after referred to as "Smokoff"). As claims 1-31 have been canceled, these rejections are now moot. As discussed below, new claims 32-48 are patentable over the cited art.

As amended, the present claimed invention is directed to a method, a system and storage medium including computer executable code means for navigating from a source report to a target report in a business intelligence application by providing a parameter for an item. The parameter defines an input or an output to a drill-through source or a drill-through target. A parameter mapping is then established between the parameter and the item. The parameter

Application No. 10/624,490 Attorney Docket No. 08005.0010

mapping maps context elements from the drill-through source to the item. A drill-through path including the parameter mapping from the drill-through source to the drill-through target is defined. The context of the drill-through source to the drill-through target is then applied in this <u>parameterized drill-through</u>.

Neither Thomson nor Smokoff teaches or suggests the parameterized drill-though as claimed by the claimed limitations. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully allowance of claims 32-48, based on the foregoing amendments and remarks.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: November 26, 2007

Meredith H. Schoenfeld Reg. No. 52,418